Monitoring of adsorption behaviors of bovine serum albumin onto a stainless steel surface by the quartz crystal microbalance based on admittance analysis
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The adsorption process of bovine serum albumin (BSA) onto a stainless steel surface was investigated using the quartz crystal microbalance based on admittance analysis. The adhered mass change $\Delta m$ increased with time as a result of contacting the BSA solution, and considerably long period (>2 h) was required for the attainment of the asymptotic values of $\Delta m$ as well as dissipation factor $\Delta D$. The relation between $\Delta D$ and $\Delta m$ suggested that the layer of adsorbed BSA molecules became stiffer with increasing time at higher BSA concentration. The relation between $\Delta m$ after 2 h and the final BSA concentration was described well by the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. However, the time course of $\Delta m$ clearly deviated from the Langmuir adsorption model. The stretched exponential function model described the time course of $\Delta m$ well although it was an empirical one.
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Adsorption of proteins onto solid surfaces is an important issue in food industry. During food manufacturing processes, it has been reported that proteinaceous deposits are often formed on equipment surfaces. Deposits like this potentially act as a nutrient for proliferation of spoilage micro-organisms and pathogens. They also can cause damage and impaired performance of equipment. Therefore, the equipment surface must be cleaned regularly to remove them. Cleaning of food manufacturing equipment requires much water, chemicals, energy, and time. To raise cleaning efficiency of food manufacturing equipment and food processing line, understanding the behavior of food protein adsorption on the food-contact surface is important.

There have been many studies about food protein adsorption onto a solid surface. Because stainless steel has been used extensively as a material for food production equipment, adsorption behavior of food proteins onto a stainless steel surface was investigated for various food proteins such as bovine $\beta$-lactoglobulin, lysozyme, ovalbumin, ovomucoid, bovine serum albumin, and gelatin.

The quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) has seen an impressive broadening of uses for study of biomolecule adsorption onto a solid surface. It is a very sensitive mass measuring device and has been used as a real-time and non-labeling mass sensor in aqueous solution. When elastic substances adhere on the QCM plate, the resonance frequency ($F_r$) decreases linearly with increasing mass $\Delta m$ on the QCM plate. The change in resonance frequency $\Delta F_r$ is described by the Sauerbrey equation as shown below.

$$\Delta F_r = -\frac{2F_{0}^{2}}{A\sqrt{\mu_{q}p_{q}}}\Delta m$$

where $F_{0}$, $A$, $\mu_{q}$, and $p_{q}$ are the change in $F_r$, the fundamental resonance frequency of the quartz crystal, the electrode area, the shear modulus of quartz, and the density of quartz, respectively.

However, it has been recently pointed out that the Sauerbrey equation is not applicable when viscoelastic substances such as most of hydrated biomolecules because not only mass change but also change of viscosity which will come from both of bulk solution and adhered viscoelastic substances affected the change of resonance frequency. Therefore, the quantitative QCM measurement of adsorption of biomolecules such as protein sometimes becomes difficult. To overcome or complement this drawback of QCM, the new type of QCM has been developed recently such as QCM-D and QCM-A. Both methods can estimate the viscosity contribution separately by obtaining the energy dissipation ($D$ factor) that indicates energy loss from the viscous components of both solution and adhered substances. The QCM-D (quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation) has become a popular method to investigate adsorption and the following structural changes in biomolecules on the QCM plate. However, the QCM-D still cannot estimate the amount of adhered substance without effect of change of viscosity from both solution and adhered substance. On the contrary, the QCM-A (quartz crystal microbalance based on admittance analysis) can...
separately estimate the amount of adhered substance as well as $D$-factor. The purpose of this study is to observe the adsorption process of model food protein (BSA) onto a stainless steel surface using the technique of QCM-A in order to understand (1) effect of concentration of BSA on adsorption mode and (2) adsorption kinetics of BSA adsorption onto a stainless steel surface.

Materials and methods

Materials. Bovine serum albumin was used as a protein sample for three reasons. Firstly, it has been used as a model protein for various research fields including food science. Secondly, it is inexpensive since large quantities of it can be readily purified from bovine blood. Finally, it has similar properties to other substances and viscosity change of bulk solution in the cell. Therefore, the change in mass cannot be determined by the change of $F_s$. On the contrary, $F_2$ is not affected by the viscosity of the substances and viscosity change of bulk solution in the cell. By entering $\Delta F_2$ instead of $\Delta F_3$ to the Saurbrey equation, the mass change $\Delta m$ is obtained.

\[
\Delta F_2 = - \frac{2F_0^2}{A\sqrt{\mu_1\rho_1}} \Delta m \quad (2)
\]

In addition, the energy dissipation ($D$) is also obtained by

\[
D = \frac{F_2 - F_1}{(F_1 + F_2)/2} \quad (3)
\]

The change in dissipation $\Delta D$ is relative to the viscosity change of bulk sample solution in cell and adhered substances. If the viscosity change of bulk sample solution in cell is ignorable, the change of dissipation is a reflection of some change in the layer of adhered substances, such as reorganization or swelling.

The QCM-A procedure used in this study is as follows: first, 400 μl of 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) was put in the cell and stabilized for 3 h with vigorous stirring (1000 rpm). Then 2 to 30 μL of the BSA solution (10 to 100 mg/mL; the final BSA concentration in the cell was from 0.0498 to 6.98 mg/mL) was injected to the cell, and the $\Delta F_2$ and $\Delta D$ values were obtained per every 2 s for 2 h. The temperature of sample solution was controlled to 25 °C during the experiments. All experiments were done in triplicate or quadruplicate.

Results

Figure 3 shows the example of time course of $\Delta F_2$. Upon injection of BSA solution to the cell ($t = 0$) $F_2$ decreased, indicating that the mass on the QCM electrode increase by adsorption of BSA molecules. Using the Equation (2), the mass change $\Delta m$ on the QCM electrode was calculated. The time course of $\Delta m$ for all BSA concentration examined was shown in the Fig. 4 as well as those of $\Delta D$. It should be noted that even 2 h after the injection, the values of $\Delta m$ and $\Delta D$ did not saturate.

Figure 5 shows the plot of mass change at $t = 7200$ s ($\Delta m = 7200$ s) as a function of final BSA concentration. The plot was similar to the Langmuir type isotherm and actually can be apparently fitted well by the equation of Langmuir isotherm as.
\[ D = \frac{1}{KC} \]

where \( K \), \( C \), and \( \Delta m_{C=\infty} \) are the adsorption equilibrium constant, the final concentration of BSA in the cell, and the \( \Delta m \) at \( C = \infty \), respectively.

To obtain further insight into the adsorption of BSA onto the stainless steel surface, \( \Delta D \) was plotted as the function of \( \Delta m \) as similar way in previous researches\(^{16,17,21,23,24}\) (Fig. 6). The values of \( \Delta D \) reflect both the change of bulk solution viscosity and change of viscosity component of the layer of BSA molecules on the electrode surface. At the final BSA concentration of 0.0492 mg/mL, the plot shows linear;

Fig. 2. Principle of QCM-A measurement. (a) The definition of \( F_s, F_1, F_2 \). (b) Changes of conductance curve, \( F_s \), \( F_1 \), and \( F_2 \) when mass increases without viscosity change. (c) Change of conductance curve, \( F_s \), \( F_1 \), and \( F_2 \) when both mass and viscosity increases.

Fig. 3. Typical time course of \( \Delta F_2 \). Final BSA concentration: 2.44 mg/mL.

\[
\Delta m_{t=7200} = \frac{\Delta m_{C=\infty}KC}{1 + KC} \tag{4}
\]

Fig. 4. Typical time course of \( \Delta m \) (a) and \( \Delta D \) (b).
with increasing mass change, the $\Delta D$ also increase linearly. This can be interpreted as the result of increase of amount of adhered BSA molecules having a viscoelastic property on the electrode surface. However, increasing the final BSA concentration the slope of the plot tended to decrease with increase in $\Delta m$; at 4.76 and 6.98 mg/mL, the slopes took negative values. This indicates that the layer of BSA on the electrode became less viscous with increase in $\Delta m$.

**Discussion**

Understanding of protein adsorption onto a stainless steel surface is important for cleaning efficiency of food manufacturing equipment and food processing line. Many researches investigating adsorption amount of food protein and effect of external conditions such as pH, temperature, and salt concentration. However, there were few researches that observed the kinetical process of protein adsorption onto a stainless steel surface. The QCM-A-based technique may enable a real-time and non-labeling monitoring of a protein adsorption process.

Other researchers estimated the saturation amount of BSA adsorbed onto a stainless steel surface by the depletion method such as ~2.3 mg/m² [210] (40 °C, pH 7.0, solvent: 10⁻³ M KNO₃), 3 – 4.2 mg/m² [11] (25 °C, solvent: Hank’s balances salt solution), ~1 mg/m² [20] (30 °C, pH 7.0, solvent: 10⁻¹ M KNO₃). In general, adsorption amount of protein is dependent on temperature and solvent condition. Furthermore, in this study, $\Delta m$ did not reach the saturated value even 120 min after the injection. Therefore, direct comparison of $\Delta m$ to those reported values is difficult. However, it was true that the maximum $\Delta m$ (5.95 ± 0.27 mg/m²; 6.98 mg/mL BSA at 120 min) was larger than those saturated values. This may be from the water molecules coupled with BSA. In the QCM-A, it was reported that mass of protein in hydrated state is detected. [16,19] So the mass detected by QCM-A is from both protein molecules and water molecules coupled with protein. Ozeki et al. [16] reported that the weight ratio of water molecules coupled with one BSA molecule in TE buffer (pH 7.8, 10 mM Tris–HCl, 200 mM NaCl) was about 1.5. That is to say, the mass of BSA is detected 2.5 times larger than its dried mass by QCM-A. Therefore, it may be said that the maximum value of $\Delta m$ obtained in this study was consistent with the previous reported results.

The change in $\Delta D$ indicates the energy loss from the viscous components of bulk solution and adhered substances. [5,16-24] Immediately after injection of BSA solution, rapid increase in $\Delta D$ was observed. This was due to both the increase in bulk solution viscosity in the cell by addition of BSA solution and adsorption of BSA molecule having a viscoelasticity. Because the sample in the cell was vigorously stirred (1000 rpm), the effect of increase in the bulk solution viscosity by the injection of BSA solution was only appeared at early stage of experiment. The fact that the overshoot was only observed in the case when the BSA concentration was higher than a certain value (2.44 mg/mL) may also coincide this. If the stirring speed was not enough, the concentration distribution of BSA in the sample cell would not become even quickly and result in the overshoot of $\Delta D$ at all BSA concentration, which was not different from the results. In addition, the sample temperature was controlled to 25 ± 0.1 °C which is lower enough than denaturation temperature of BSA at pH 7.0 (61.2 °C–27). So change in viscosity by denaturation of BSA did not occur. From these aspects, it was indicated that the change in $\Delta D$ in this study came from viscosity component of the layer of adhered BSA molecule except for the early stage of experiment. From here, we focus only the change in $\Delta D$ 5 min after injection of BSA solution, where the concentration distribution of BSA in the sample would be even enough. For 0.0492 mg/mL, $\Delta D$ increase is approximately linear with $\Delta m$. This increase in behavior can be interpreted as the result of increasing adhered amount of BSA molecule that is viscoelastic. However, higher BSA concentration resulted in lower increase in $\Delta D$.
with $\Delta m$. Especially at 4.76 mg/mL and 6.98 mg/mL, $\Delta D$ decreases with increase in $\Delta m$, suggesting an increase in the elasticity of the adhered BSA layer. Similar behavior was observed in the process of BSA adsorption onto a platinum surface\textsuperscript{28} and lipid vesicles adsorption onto an oxidized gold surface.\textsuperscript{16} It has been previously shown that these behaviors of decrease in $\Delta D$ against mass change occur as the layer of adhered substance becomes stiffer.\textsuperscript{16,21,29,30} Considering these previous researches, the mechanism of decrease in $\Delta D$ against mass change at higher BSA concentration may be possible as follows (Fig. 7). Upon injection of BSA solution, BSA molecules adhered onto the stainless surface. Since the concentration of BSA is relatively higher, the surface is almost covered by BSA molecules. This initial adsorption reaction occurred so rapidly that BSA molecules have little time to take energetically preferred orientation. As a result, packing efficiency of BSA molecule in the layer lowered at the initial adsorption. As the time passes, the BSA molecules start to take energetically favored orientation and packed together gradually. This packing also allows more species to adsorb on the surface. As a result, the layer of adhered BSA becomes stiffer.

The concentration dependence of $\Delta m = 7200$ was fitted well by the equation of Langmuir isotherm model. Since isotherm data from protein adsorption studies often appear to be fitted well by the Langmuir isotherm model\textsuperscript{10,31,32} as same as in this study, estimates of protein binding affinity have often been carried out from its use, although none of the conditions required for a Langmuir adsorption process may be satisfied for this type of application.\textsuperscript{33} In this study, protein molecule reorientation in the adsorption layer was suggested, which is not considered in the Langmuir adsorption model. Using the time course of $\Delta m$, deviation of Langmuir adsorption model would also be evident. According to the Langmuir adsorption model, adsorption amount $\Delta m$ is described by the following equation\textsuperscript{33}

$$\Delta m(t) = \Delta m_\infty [1 - \exp(-kt)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\Delta m_\infty$ and $k$ are the saturated adsorption amount and adsorption rate constant, respectively.

Figure 8(a) shows the example of results of fitting by the Equation (5) for 0.0492 mg/mL BSA. It is clear that the equation could not be fitted well although the isotherm was fitted well by the Langmuir adsorption model. At all other BSA concentrations, the Equation (5) was unable to fit the time course of $\Delta m$, neither (data is not shown). These results clearly indicate the deviation of the Langmuir adsorption model. Because QCM-A can monitor the amount of adherence on the surface, checking the Langmuir adsorption model is possible by one experiment without adsorption isotherm. This is one of the merits of QCM-A.

From the facts mentioned above, the question arises as to what the equation capable to approximate the time course of $\Delta m$. The following empirical equation was used to fit the data on time course of $\Delta m$.

Stretched exponential function model\textsuperscript{34,35}
where $\Delta m$: saturated adsorption amount; $k$: adsorption rate constant; $\beta$: fitting parameter, representing the deviation from the Langmuir adsorption model.

Figure 8(b) shows the example of results of fitting by Equation (6). Table 1 summarizes parameters obtained by the fitting. The time courses of $\Delta m$ for all initial BSA concentration examined in this study were described well by the stretched exponential function model function model. In spite of lack of physical meaning, this empirical approach can evaluate apparent adsorption rate constant, which may be useful for practical purpose, such as quantitative comparison of adsorption rate among different solid surfaces.
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Table 1. Summary of the parameters obtained using the stretched exponential function equation for the fitting of time course of $\Delta m$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BSA conc. (mg/mL)</th>
<th>$k$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta m_{\infty}$ (mg/m$^2$)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0498</td>
<td>7.32 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.13 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>1.98 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.0 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>5.17 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4.22 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>8.56 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.14 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>8.48 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>7.6 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>6.92 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.12 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


